UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,652	06/24/2003	Masatoshi Yokota	0754-0192P	1286
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 02/01/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIRCI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		HUNTER, ALVIN A		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
		·		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action

<i>[</i> ]	
Applicant(s)	
YOKOTA, MASATOSHI	
Art Unit	
3711	
	Applicant(s) YOKOTA, MASATOSHI Art Unit

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(P1OL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,6,7,9 and 11-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good arwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		2
13.  Other:		Jan	
	5	EUGEN SUPERVISORY PAT	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant only truly argues that the ratio is not recognized by either reference, however the combination of Wu and Iwata combined would result in the ratio claimed by the applicant. The rational for combining the reference need not be the same as that of the applicant. The ratio is only a result of the material used. The is no other structure that would lead to one having ordinary skill in the art to believe that the ratio itself would result in any golf ball having improved properties. The claim only requires the material to met this requirement. For this reasons, the request for consideration does not place the application in condition for allowance.